

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,098	04/21/2004	Takahiro Tokunaga	4041K-000197	6007
27572	7590 09/28/2006		EXAMINER	
•	DICKEY & PIERCE,	FORD, JOHN K		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		3753	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/829,098	TOKUNAGA ET A	TOKUNAGA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		John K. Ford	3753					
Period for		•	_					
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stiply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, riod will apply and will expire SIX (atute, cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)[X] F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	7/10/06,						
		This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌 🧐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 19, 10, 18-20, 22 and 23								
4) Claim(s) $\frac{2}{2}$ is/are pending in the application.								
4) (A) Claim(s) (S) Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
6) 🕱 (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed 20, 22 and 23 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌 (8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	n Papers		•					
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
•	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ed to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	ibeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con	rection is required if the dr	awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
:	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•		Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)					
• ==	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/829,098

Art Unit: 3753

Applicant's response of July 10, 2006 has been studied carefully. The examiner acknowledges the interview of July 7, 2006, based on a proposal E-mailed to the examiner on or around June 30, 2006. The current amendment appears to be identical to the proposed amendment.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 18-20, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

While the examiner considers the claims to be allowable in so far as understood by the examiner, at the present time, there is a potential point of confusion in that the word "casing" is used throughout the claims to refer to two different structures. One of the casings is a "fan casing" (first recited in claim 1, line 3) and the other of the casings is an "air-conditioner casing" (first recited in claim 1, line 5). Unfortunately, the claims as now written do not make it clear in each instance where the word "casing" occurs, which of the two previously recited casings applicant is referring to. It is suggested that in claim 1, line 3, that the word - - fan - - be inserted before "casing" and that all subsequent references to a "casing" be prefaced either by the word "fan" or "air-conditioner" to clearly distinguish which of the two "casings" (claim 1, line 3 or claim 1, line 5) applicant is referring to in claim 1, lines 17, 22 and 24, claim 7, line 2 and claim 18, line 3, as well as

any other occurrence of the word "casing" by itself that the examiner may have overlooked in preparing the aforementioned listing.

In claim 6, line 3, - - fluidic - - should be inserted before "parallel" to make it clear that the heater and cooler are not in geometric parallel. In claim 9, line 2, "controls" should probably read - - directs - -. In claim 10, line 2, "controls" should probably read - - directs - -. In claim 20, line 3, "a common" should probably read - - the - -. In claim 22, line 4, there is no longer antecedent basis for "along the inclined portion" and could be changed to - - along an inclined portion of the air-conditioner casing - -.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3753

But for the above noted problems, the claims are otherwise allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John K. Ford at telephone number 571-272-4911.

Primary Exeminer